

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/697,212

Applicant(s)

GAGGINI, SILENA

Examiner

William P. Watkins III

Art Unit

1772

All Participants:

(1) William P. Watkins III.

(2) John James McGlew.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, ~~since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.~~ *See Recombination Sheet*
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

WILLIAM P. WATKINS III
PRIMARY EXAMINER


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to amend claim 1 to correct a typographical error and update the status of the application at the beginning of the specification. Changes to be made by examiner's amendment . It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the interview as the interview dealt only with formal matters, the case already being in condition for allowance. The formal matters are discussed in the examiner's amendment..